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Several points of uncertainty exist regarding the antimicrobial management of acute hematogenous osteomyelitis, total duration of therapy and the use of oral stepdown to oral therapy following a period of parenteral therapy. Evidence-based approaches are not available given the lack of large prospective randomized trials addressing treatment duration or oral stepdown therapy.

Duration of Therapy

An early retrospective case series demonstrated a greater risk of relapse in children with staphylococcal osteomyelitis who received fewer than three weeks of therapy (4); four to six weeks of therapy have been accepted as standard therapy to minimize the risk of relapse and development of chronic osteomyelitis. More recent studies have demonstrated that the duration of therapy can be safely reduced by monitoring the c-reactive protein (CRP) and the erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), both measures of systemic inflammation, until both have normalized, usually within three to four weeks (12, 16, 18). In patients with complicated disease, as is being seen more frequently with CA-MRSA, longer courses of therapy seem prudent and are required to achieve normalization of the ESR.

Oral Stepdown Therapy

Although unacceptably high treatment failure rates were seen initially with shorter courses of parenteral therapy, greater understanding of bone and synovial fluid penetration of antibiotics (17) and routine use of higher doses led to reconsideration of oral therapy to obviate the need for maintaining prolonged intravenous access (11). For some time, it was recommended that this oral stepdown therapy only be used when monitoring of serum bactericidal titers (SBT) could be guaranteed. SBTs measure the bactericidal power of serial dilutions of the patient’s serum against the infecting organism (13). However, treatment failure proved to be rare among patients given high doses of oral antibiotics (2-3 times the usual dose) (2,3,11,12,16). Serum drug concentrations have been applied in place of SBTs to detect the occasional patient in whom oral bioavailability is poor. In a systematic review and meta-analysis of 12 prospective cohort studies (no comparative studies), little difference was demonstrated in the cure rate at least 6 months later between short (less than or equal to 7 days – pooled cure rate 95.2%) and long course intravenous therapy (greater than 7 days – pooled cure rate 98.8%) (9) (Figure).

While many centers continue to use oral stepdown therapy, many others have been using peripherally inserted central catheters (PICC) which are often easily inserted at the bedside to provide outpatient parenteral therapy. Each route of therapy has its own set of advantages and disadvantages. The primary disadvantage of prolonged intravenous therapy is the risk of complications from a central venous catheter, primarily infection and thrombosis both of which may require emergency department visits or hospital readmission (15). The benefits include lack of reliance on gastric absorption of oral.
antibiotics and possible better compliance. Oral stepdown therapy does not put patients at risk for central venous catheter infection or upper extremity thrombosis; however, compliance may be more of an issue and there may be the occasional patient who has poor oral bioavailability.

**Antibiotic Selection**

The choice of empiric therapy is based upon the age of the child and any predisposing conditions; however, empiric therapy should include coverage for S. aureus in all cases. For example, children with sickle cell disease require coverage for Salmonella; those with varicella require coverage for Group A streptococcus and neonates, coverage for Group B streptococcus. Antimicrobial agents used in MSSA osteomyelitis include the penicillinase-resistant penicillins (nafcillin, oxacillin, cloxacillin), first and second generation cephalosporins (cefazolin, cephalexin, cefuroxime) and clindamycin. For MRSA, clindamycin, vancomycin, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole and rifampin are used. It is reasonable to empirically treat patients for CA-MRSA when 10 to 15% of staphylococcal isolates are CA-MRSA although no data exists to support any particular threshold (7). In those areas where most CA-MRSA isolates are clindamycin susceptible, clindamycin is reasonable empiric coverage. For those areas where more isolates express constitutive or inducible resistance to clindamycin (inducible resistance as determined by the D-test), empiric therapy with vancomycin is recommended. Vancomycin should also be included in empiric therapy in critically ill children with musculoskeletal sepsis.

There is little systematic data on the use of newer anti-gram positive agents in osteomyelitis. Linezolid is approved in children for the therapy of pneumonia and skin and soft tissue infections including those caused by MRSA (6,8,21). There is limited experience, in adults, in its use in acute and chronic osteomyelitis (5,14). It has the benefit of being available in an oral preparation for stepdown therapy. Experience with Daptomycin for osteomyelitis is limited to date with no approved indications in children (10,20). Given the increasing incidence of CA-MRSA infections, however, there will be greater pressure for use of these newer antistaphylococcal agents in children in the future.

The final therapy chosen depends upon the organism isolated. Up to 50% of acute hematogenous osteomyelitis are culture negative and empiric therapy must be continued. It is generally believed that most patients with culture negative disease have staphylococcal infection, since patients with culture negative disease have been demonstrated to be cured with anti-staphylococcal therapy (for MSSA or MRSA depending on the prevalence). Treatment of MSSA with first or second generation cephalosporins also provides empiric coverage for Kingella; however, the use of clindamycin and vancomycin for MRSA leaves potential Kingella infections untreated. In children with negative cultures, who have not improved adequately on empiric therapy, therapy should be changed to include coverage for MRSA, Salmonella or Kingella and additional imaging obtained (if not already done) to assess for subperiosteal or deep soft tissue abscess.

**Laboratory Monitoring During Therapy**

Both the CRP (C reactive protein) and the ESR (Erythrocyte sedimentation rate) have been used to monitor response to therapy in children with acute hematogenous osteomyelitis (12,16,18). The CRP appears to have the greatest utility as it is the most rapidly responsive to a change in the condition of the patient (improvement or
deterioration). The CRP usually peaks about day two of hospitalization and appropriate treatment and returns to normal at a mean of seven days (12). It is almost always elevated at the time of admission, whereas, the ESR may be normal at the time of admission and increase thereafter. The ESR does not peak until days three to five and does not return to normal until between two and three weeks after the start of appropriate therapy. Children with septic arthritis in addition to osteomyelitis (approximately 20% of cases) (1) have higher CRPs which are slower to resolve (19).
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